

From: [REDACTED]
To: [One Earth Solar](#)
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: Transcript of my submission to the Open Meeting of the One Earth Solar Farm proposal on November 6th (EN010159)
Date: 11 November 2025 07:55:47

Good morning.

My name is Stephen Fox, and I am a resident of North Clifton.

I will exercise my mandatory statutory right to address the procedural lawfulness of this Examination, as required by the principles of natural justice and public law.

"Let the record reflect that my presence at this hearing is compulsory under statute, and is therefore strictly under protest. My continued participation in this Examination does not, and cannot, constitute a waiver of my right to challenge the systemic procedural illegality that has irreparably corrupted this process.

As of today, the Secretary of State and the Government Legal Department have been served with a Final Legal Mandate demanding the immediate suspension of this Examination. Their failure to act constitutes an endorsement of institutional misconduct."

"The integrity of this Examination is destroyed by two conclusive, documented procedural failures:

First: The Breach of Natural Justice. An administrative firewall has been breached, leading to a structural conflict of interest. The ExA has allowed the Examination to proceed while being the explicit subject of a formal, documented complaint alleging bias and censorship. This constitutes an incurable breach of the Rule of Natural Justice: *Nemo Judex in Causa Sua*—no one should be a judge in their own cause.[1, 2] This fundamental defect renders this entire Examination structurally incapable of impartial adjudication.

Second: The Act of Unlawful Censorship. Despite receiving a formal Letter Before Action for Judicial Review, the Examining Authority chose to commit a further act of administrative misconduct on 4 November 2025. It unlawfully suppressed my Formal Allegation of Apprehended Bias report, and heavily redacted my legal analysis detailing the Applicant's [REDACTED]. This is not a clerical error. This is a deliberate act of censorship and concealment of evidence from the public record and the Secretary of State."

3. Judicial Review is Imminent (Time: 2:45)

"The Applicant has already committed an abuse of process by failing to comply with the ExA's own disclosure request, ExQ2: Q1.0.1, regarding the missing 'full suite' of consultation documents. This confirms their [REDACTED] and material breach of Section 49 of the Planning Act.

The ExA's choice today to allow this hearing to proceed, despite fixed knowledge of the structural bias and the censorship, is the final, unconscionable act required to secure the Judicial Review claim.

This continuation constitutes an act of Compound Illegality that actively creates two legal consequences for the Secretary of State:

First: It guarantees that the final Development Consent Order will be challenged as void ab initio—unlawful from the outset.

Second: It demonstrates institutional [REDACTED] on the part of the decision-maker, which will be explicitly cited in the High Court to demand punitive costs and the application of the [REDACTED] Exception to Costs against the Secretary of State and the Planning Inspectorate."

4. Conclusion and Final Demand (Time: 3:45)

"For the protection of the administrative record, and to prevent the continuing waste of public resources on a process that is, by its own conduct, irreparably corrupted:

I reiterate the mandatory demand: The Examination must be immediately suspended.

My full legal position, including the unredacted evidence of misconduct, is now formally before the Government Legal Department and will be filed in the Planning Court without further notice."

--

Stephen Fox

